Submission ID: 12686

Dear Planning Inspectorates

Date: 15.12.2022

My Unique number 20030556

Further to my comments on 11.11.2022

I will find moving around the areas of this proposed scheme most unpleasant high fences and alien solar panels on the fields, replacing what is and has been open countryside with wide scenic views of various seasonal crops.

The solar panels will not be confined to one area but intended to be spread around a wide area of countryside and disturbance of historical nature trail footpaths.

When or if the footpaths are reopened the pleasure of wide open fields will be lost, being replaced with high fences confining large solar panels on one, or both sides, of these paths.

The detrimental effects this scheme will have on residents' mental health and wellbeing should also form part of the ExA investigation.

The increased volume of traffic that this scheme would generate on local roads including the increase of heavy Lorries, will make walking around the village even more hazardous and dangerous than it is at present.

For the sake of the wildlife any hedging and trees removed, must be replaced with like for like.

The history of the arable fields proposed to be used in this scheme, bear witness to the fact that they have been excellent fields for producing various crops.

From what I have gleaned, the Bess battery storage units are far larger than the amount of electricity that the schemes solar panels are likely to produce, knowing how dangerous the Bess battery storage units can be, why are Sunnica proposing to use larger than necessary Bess units?

I agree with Dr Edmund Fordham's comments regards the Battery Storage units, this scheme intends to use, require further investigation along with certification by the Health and Safety Executive.

For the relatively insignificant amount of electricity this scheme will produce, it is not worth the loss of good arable fields and the upheaval and distress it will cause to residents. If meaningfully installing of solar panels on roofs and brownfield sites was introduced at the planning stage, it would reap more beneficial and satisfactory outcomes.

I have heard mentioned that after this schemes life cycle, the countryside can be returned to its original state, on paper may be, but in practice after the removal of the substantial cubic meterage of concrete and the effects of solar panel leaching into the soil, these arable fields will no longer be fit for its god given purpose, of growing crops.

However anyone might try, this scheme will not embrace the countryside but annihilate it.

In my mind any meaningful attempts to produce green electricity senvironmental equilibriums: Sunnica's proposed scheme grossly violates this	should fully s.	embrace